



Capacity: From Model to Megawatt – Rethinking AI Leadership Through Infrastructure

The questions boards should be asking



Fumbi Chima



Andreea Bulisache

This article is the second in a four-part series designed to reframe the AI conversation for senior executives and board directors.



Capacity is the invisible force shaping AI's future. As leaders passionate about guiding enterprises and boards through pivotal moments, we believe that the capacity conversation — access to compute, energy, and resilient infrastructure — must move from the server room to the boardroom. This article continues our series launched with "**The Next Imperative**" framing why capacity is now a board-level priority and why tomorrow's market leaders will be those who understand that scaling AI is not merely a technical issue—it is a geopolitical, operational, and existential one.

Capacity as Strategic DNA

When AI strategies falter, it won't be for lack of vision, talent, or even capital. It will be because companies underestimated the race for capacity. Boards that frame AI as a "tech team issue" risk being left behind. As adoption accelerates, the ability to secure and manage capacity will become a critical pillar of enterprise resilience, competitiveness, and innovation.



Capacity must be seen as a living, breathing part of corporate strategy—no longer an afterthought, but a core competency. As organizations pivot to AI-driven models, the race to secure sustainable, scalable capacity will be one of the defining differentiators between those who thrive and those who falter.

In a world where AI training and deployment devour resources at unprecedented rates, capacity isn't a background concern—it's a currency. Every board must ask: **Who controls the compute, energy, and infrastructure we will need to thrive?**

(Source: International Energy Agency, 2024)

Training a next-generation AI model is already comparable to powering a small town for a year. The leap to multimodal, autonomous AI systems will only deepen this gap. Companies failing to plan for nonlinear scaling will find themselves frozen—outpaced by competitors who treated capacity as strategy, not logistics. (Source: RAND Corporation, 2024)



Moreover, control over compute is rapidly becoming the difference between market makers and market takers. Strategic planning must now account for capacity bottlenecks as rigorously as financial risks.

Control over AI infrastructure is rapidly consolidating. Technology giants are no longer just building platforms—they are securing the lifeblood of future innovation. When Microsoft pre-committed to nearly half a million GPUs, it wasn't just to train models faster. It was to create a strategic anchor (Source: Financial Times, 2024). In China, investments in domestic chip production signal that capacity is being viewed through a lens of national security, not merely corporate competition. Europe's push with initiatives like the EU Chips Act is a recognition that without domestic capacity, sovereign AI leadership is impossible. (Source: European Commission, 2023)

Companies must rethink alliances and dependencies. Geographic and political risks now play as large a role as technical leadership. There is an emerging premium on flexibility—the ability to shift compute loads across regions, jurisdictions, and providers as needed. (Source: Brookings Institution, 2024)

Boards and CIOs should ask:

- ▶ How exposed are we to capacity shortages or price shocks?
- ▶ Is our AI ambition matched by a roadmap to secure the energy and compute it requires?
- ▶ How are we tracking shifts in AI hardware and software requirements that may affect our capacity models?
- ▶ Are we comfortable with our reliance on hyperscalers, or should we diversify our capacity sources?
- ▶ Do we have influence or access strategies in place if geopolitical shifts restrict global compute markets?
- ▶ What regulatory trends might alter our current access to global infrastructure?

The infrastructure bottleneck is becoming critical. Securing rack space, cooling systems, and energy isn't a "nice to have"—it's now a determinant of who can scale AI and who can't. Electric grids are already under strain. In many regions, lead times for new connections now exceed five to seven years. Companies assuming the cloud will endlessly scale to meet demand without local infrastructure constraints are betting against physics, policy, and climate volatility. (Source: IEA, 2024; CSIS, 2024)

Innovation in grid flexibility, green energy integration, and data center design is uneven globally. Leaders must prioritize infrastructure intelligence—proactive, real-time tracking of regional risks—as part of operational resilience.

Questions boards should be asking about sustainability:

- ▶ Are our AI growth plans stress-tested against regional infrastructure constraints?
- ▶ Should we engage proactively in grid modernization initiatives or form energy procurement partnerships?
- ▶ What is our contingency plan if primary cloud partners face infrastructure bottlenecks?

Every AI milestone we celebrate has a carbon, water, and resource footprint. The myth that digital progress is weightless is being shattered. Energy and water consumption for AI data centers is projected to rival that of entire nations. Worse, the pace of improvement in efficiency is not keeping up with the exponential scaling of AI deployment. (Source: Deloitte, 2025)

Boards that treat sustainability and AI as separate discussions are missing the point: the two are now inseparable. Investors, regulators, and the public are beginning to scrutinize the environmental footprint of AI with the same intensity as traditional industries. New ESG reporting frameworks will likely require explicit accounting for AI-driven resource consumption.



Questions boards should be asking about sustainability:

- ▶ Are our ESG disclosures accounting for AI-driven energy and water impacts?
- ▶ How do our AI ambitions align—or conflict—with our public sustainability commitments?
- ▶ Are we considering innovations in "green AI" practices to mitigate environmental costs?



Boards must stop viewing capacity as an operational line item. The forward-leaning organizations will treat it as a strategic differentiator. Innovators are already moving:



Forming direct renewable energy procurement deals tied to AI expansion.



Building regional micro-data centers to sidestep national infrastructure chokepoints.



Engineering AI workflows that optimize for "green compute" windows where renewable energy is abundant.



Prioritizing hardware and software efficiency in model selection to minimize unnecessary compute usage.



Incorporating energy-aware AI algorithms and adaptive scheduling models that align workload peaks with renewable energy availability.

Capacity-Conscious Strategies for Boards:

- ▶ **Shift AI Workloads to Green Data Centers:** Direct compute tasks to renewable-rich regions through dynamic workload orchestration.
- ▶ **Optimize AI Models:** Implement techniques like quantization, pruning, and knowledge distillation to reduce compute needs.
- ▶ **Adopt Low-Power Chips:** Use specialized hardware like NVIDIA H100, Google TPU v5e, AWS Inferentia to boost efficiency.
- ▶ **Pursue Policy and Regulatory Engagement:** Proactively shape and comply with emerging standards for sustainable AI growth.

Capacity resilience is fast becoming a proxy for enterprise agility. Organizations that fail to embed capacity thinking into their AI strategy will struggle with regulatory compliance, stakeholder trust, and operational scalability.



The New Board Agenda: Leading with Foresight

What we advocate is simple, but urgent: Boards must integrate capacity resilience into the heart of enterprise risk management, innovation planning, and sustainability strategy.

- ▶ **Scenario Planning:** Model AI capacity needs under multiple geopolitical and regulatory futures.
- ▶ **Investment Shifts:** View investments in compute, energy resilience, and sovereign cloud capabilities as long-term strategic assets.
- ▶ **Cross-Functional Governance:** Embed AI capacity considerations into sustainability, security, and M&A committee mandates.
- ▶ **Talent Strategy:** Ensure technical leadership roles (CIO, CTO, Chief Sustainability Officer) are collaborating to address capacity resilience cohesively.
- ▶ **Stakeholder Communication:** Transparently articulate capacity strategies to investors, regulators, and customers as a mark of enterprise responsibility.
- ▶ **Risk Frameworks:** Expand traditional enterprise risk frameworks to incorporate scenarios such as prolonged supply chain interruptions, energy price volatility, and regulatory shocks.

Boards that act today—building foresight, resilience, and influence into their AI capacity strategies—will not only enable innovation. They will safeguard competitiveness, stakeholder trust, and enterprise value.





Conclusion: Capacity Will Define Strategic Winners

AI will not merely reward those who innovate fastest. It will reward those who prepare most wisely. The next wave of market leaders will be those who recognized that capacity is not infrastructure—it is destiny. Capacity is the ultimate forcing function for strategic clarity. It demands organizations confront uncomfortable truths about scale, resilience, sovereignty, and sustainability.

Boards that engage with this challenge—with urgency, creativity, and courage—will not only shape their organizations' futures but will help shape the societal impact of AI itself.



In our next article, we will explore the shifting geopolitical landscape where capacity, alliances, and sovereignty will decide the future of AI leadership.



Fumbi Chima

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/fumbi-chima/>

Dr. Fumbi Chima is a global technology executive who has led digital and operational transformation initiatives at industry-leading brands including adidas, Burberry, Walmart, Boeing Credit Union, and Fox Networks. Her experience spans P&L ownership, M&A, operations, and enterprise technology leadership across retail, CPG, digital, and financial services. She is widely recognized as an AI thought leader with a strong reputation for aligning innovation with business goals to deliver sustainable value and competitive advantage. At adidas AG, she spearheaded large-scale infrastructure and process transformations, achieving cost savings, accelerating speed to market, and enabling cross-market scalability. Known for bridging the gap between technology and business, she fosters high-performance cultures rooted in innovation, accountability, and transparency. Her leadership has consistently increased employee engagement and organizational impact. Throughout her career, she has championed innovative solutions in data strategy, digital marketing, and cybersecurity, always with a relentless focus on driving growth and enhancing customer experience.



Andreea Bulisache

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/andreeabulisache/>

Andreea Bulisache is a global tech executive and strategist with deep expertise in AI, cybersecurity, and digital transformation. A former Microsoft leader, she played a key role in scaling emerging technologies and leading complex integrations such as GitHub and Databricks, translating innovation into tangible business value. As Founder of Stratified Advisory, she partners with CEOs, PE-backed companies, and boards to drive enterprise innovation and navigate digital and regulatory complexity. Known for her ability to bridge technical depth with strategic insight, Andreea has contributed to national AI and cybersecurity frameworks and frequently advises on risk, governance, and sustainable innovation. She serves as Chair of Young & Bold and sits on the International Advisory Board of Nyenrode Business University. A graduate of the Harvard Business School Women on Boards Program, she brings a forward-thinking lens to the evolving intersection of technology, geopolitics, and long-term boardroom resilience.

Links to sources referenced in this article:

[Stanford AI Index, "AI and Global Trends Report," 2025](#)

[International Energy Agency \(IEA\), "Energy and AI, World Energy Outlook Special Report," 2024](#)

[MIT Climate Portal, "AI's Environmental Impact: Explained," 2025](#)

[IDC, "Worldwide Spending on Artificial Intelligence Forecast to Reach \\$632 Billion in 2028," 2024](#)

[European Commission, "EU Chips Act and Green Deal Initiatives," 2023](#)

[Accenture Labs & IIT Kharagpur, "Towards Sustainable NLP: Insights from Benchmarking Inference Energy in LLMs," 2025 \(Access via arXiv: early academic publication location\)](#)

[RAND Corporation - The Future of AI and Compute Demand \(Main Portal\), 2024](#)

[CSIS - Energy Challenges for Emerging AI Infrastructure, 2024](#)